From: LE CORRE Vincent B[redacted]
Subject: IMPORTANT, PAY ATTENTION, 1 chance out of 4 France 2011
Date: February 7, 2023 at 18:04
To: Adam Rogalski <RogalskiA@state.gov>
Cc: Edward Lehman [redacted]

Dear Mr. Rogalski,

Please pay attention to what I am going to explain in this email. It's quite important. **Please make certain it's transferred to the right people/investigators.**

It's a demonstration that, and for the year 2011 in France, when McDonald's criminally claimed consumers had 1 chance out of 4 to win instantly, it could only have meant ***per attempt in which 1 attempt = 1 game stamp.***

Look at attached file picture_1_france_2011.jpg:

1. McDonald's claims 1 chance out of 4 to win instantly whether the consumers buy a **menu best of** or a **menu maxi best of**.
2. McDonald's claims that a **menu best of** gets the customer, and future victim, 2 game stamps.
3. McDonald's claims that a **menu maxi best of** gets the customer, and future victim, 4 game stamps.
4. Therefore, the probability of winning is the same whether the customer, and future victim, buys a **menu best of** or a **menu maxi best of**: 1 chance out of 4 in both cases. It is what is shown.
5. **Axiom of extension.** *Two sets are equal if and only if they have the same elements.*
6. Since 2 ≠ 4, the probability of winning can't obviously be based per set. It can only be based per attempt in which 1 attempt = 1 game stamp.

Now, look at picture 2 and 3 (file names picture_2_france_2011.jpg, picture_3_france_2011.jpg).

There is something barely readable written. It's the same thing as I explained to New York Times journalist Constant Méheut:

https://www.tojournalists.com/open-letters/explanations-sent-to-constant-meheut-may-25-2022/

McDonald's claims in the fine print that the odds are even better than 1 chance out of 4 per attempt in which one attempt is one game stamp.

McDonald's claims that the odds one winning are in fact 1 chance out of 2.

The key point of this email is not to explain to the FBI/DOJ what I already explained to Constant Méheut even though it's very important. THE KEY POINT WAS TO MAKE YOU UNDERSTAND THAT MCDONALD'S FRAUDULENT 1 CHANCE OUT OF 4 STATEMENT IN FRANCE IN 2011 CAN INITIALLY ONLY BE INTERPRETED AS PER ATTEMPT IN WHICH 1 ATTEMPT = 1 GAME STAMP (i.e. 1 SINGLE PEEL-OFF, 1 SINGLE STICKER).

And of course, subsequently, McDonald's NEVER warns their victims, that it's a lie, and therefore a fraud.

Yours sincerely,

Vincent Le Corre

picture_1_france_2011.jpg image/jpeg 202.7 KiB

picture_2_france_2011.jpg image/jpeg 283.1 KiB

picture_3_france_2011.jpg image/jpeg 555.8 KiB

MONOPOLY ORIGINAL

1 CHANCE SUR 4
DE GAGNER TOUT DE SUITE*

MENU BEST OF™	MENU MAXI BEST OF™	McFLURRY™	CAFÉ
2 VIGNETTES	4 VIGNETTES	2 VIGNETTES	2 VIGNETTES

MONOPOLY
1 CHANCE SUR 4
DE GAGNER TOUT DE SUITE*
MENU BEST OF*
2 VIGNETTES
MENU MAXI BEST OF*
4 VIGNETTES
McFLURRY*
2 VIGNETTES
CAFÉ
2 VIGNETTES

MONOPOLY
ORIGINAL
1 CHANCE SUR 4
DE GAGNER TOUT DE SUITE*
MENU BEST OF*
2 VIGNETTES
MENU MAXI BEST OF*
4 VIGNETTES
McFLURRY*
2 VIGNETTES
CAFÉ
2 VIGNETTES